

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE

425 Eye Stree, N.W. BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F Washington, D.C. 20536

JUL 03 2003

File:

LIN 02 127 54727

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

Application:

Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1203

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided Coast Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id*.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant, a native of India, seeks to obtain a travel document (reentry permit) under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1203. The director denied the application after determining that the application was filed after the applicant had departed from the United States.

On appeal, the applicant indicates that the fact that the application was not properly filed until after her departure from the United States should not be regarded as her fault.

In pertinent part, section 223 of the Act provides that an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence who intends to visit abroad and return to the United States to resume that status may make an application for a permit to reenter the United States. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 223.2(b)(1) allows for the approval of a reentry permit if the application (Form I-131) is filed by a person who is in the United States at the time of application, and is a lawful permanent resident or conditional permanent resident.

The record reflects that the applicant was physically present in the United States from February 21, 2002 through February 28, 2002. She completed and signed her reentry permit application on February 28, 2002 prior to her departure from the United States. The application was subsequently submitted to the Bureau on March 7, 2002, but was unable to be accepted and processed because the applicant had failed to submit the required fee. The application was resubmitted, with the required fee, and accepted for processing on April 8, 2002.

Since the application was not properly filed until after the applicant had already departed the United States, the application may not be approved. The appeal will, therefore, be dismissed.

It is noted that a lawful permanent resident who seeks to reenter after an absence from the United States of one year or more, and does not possess a reentry permit, should contact a United States consulate abroad for further information regarding possible options for return to the United States.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. \S 1361. The applicant has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.